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Although phosphinidene $(M=P)$ complexes are quite common in transition metal chemistry, analogous lanthanide derivatives remain unknown. ${ }^{1}$ The absence of bridging or terminal lanthanide phosphinidene complexes is striking since the related actinide series has two known structurally characterized examples belonging to uranium: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{U}\left(=\right.$ PMes $\left.^{*}\right)\left(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{Mes}^{*}=2,4,6-{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right),{ }^{2}$ featuring a terminal phosphinidene group, and $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{U}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{PH},{ }^{3}$ possessing a bridging phosphinidene. Recently, highly reactive transition metal species such as group 4 alkylidenes, alkylidynes, phosphinidenes, and imides have been stabilized using the robust pincer PNP ligand set. ${ }^{4}$ Herein we report the use of the PNP ligand $\mathbf{1}$ to prepare, isolate, and study the first example of a lanthanide phosphinidene complex.

Reaction of the PNP ligands $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ with $\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}$ at room temperature in pentane and toluene, respectively, gave the corresponding lutetium bis(alkyl) complexes $\mathbf{3}$ and 4, respectively, as yellow solids in good isolated yield (Scheme 1). Whereas $\mathbf{3}$ is highly soluble in ethers and hydrocarbons and is best purified by recrystallization from hot $\mathrm{TMS}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give large yellow blocks, complex 4 must be triturated from pentane to yield an insoluble yellow powder. The most diagnostic feature in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the two Lu (III) bis(alkyl) complexes are the methylene resonances at $\delta-0.33(\mathbf{3})$ and $\delta-0.08 \mathrm{ppm}$ (4). Both 3 and $\mathbf{4}$ also display signals in their ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra at $\delta$ 14.5 and -4.8 ppm , respectively, downfield from the resonances observed for the free ligands ( $\mathbf{1}, \delta-12.9 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathbf{2}, \delta-18.7$ $\mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{5}$ Additionally, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR resonance of $\mathbf{3}$ compares favorably to the structurally related $\mathrm{d}^{0}$ complex ( $\mathrm{PNP}^{\mathrm{iPr}}$ ) $\mathrm{ZrMe}_{3}(\delta$ $13.0 \mathrm{ppm}){ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$

The molecular structure of compound $\mathbf{3}$ is presented in Figure 1 and reveals a distorted square pyramidal geometry about the Lu(III) metal center with one alkyl group in the apical position and the PNP ${ }^{i P r}$ ligand and the other alkyl group forming the pyramid base. The $\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths (2.307(6), 2.322(6) A) fall within the range typically observed for $\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ bonds. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{The} \mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{N}$ (2.244(5) $\AA$ ) and $\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds (2.8534(15), 2.8547(15) $\AA$ ) are somewhat shorter than those reported for the structurally related $\left[\left\{2-\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{THF})(\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{N}=2.342(3) \AA$; $\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{P}=2.9096(9), 2.9765(9) \AA) .{ }^{7}$ This difference may be attributed to the lack of coordinated THF in 3.

As shown in Scheme 1, reaction of a toluene solution of $\mathbf{3}$ with 1 equiv of $\mathrm{MesPH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Mes}=2,4,6-\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h afforded the $\mathrm{Lu}($ III $)$ phosphinidene complex 5 as a cherry red solid in $52 \%$ isolated yield. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 5 exhibits a diagnostic quintet at $\delta 186.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ coupled to a triplet at $\delta 18.1$ $\mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}}=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ consistent with two bridging phosphinidene units between two ( $\mathrm{PNP}^{\mathrm{iPr}}$ )Lu fragments.
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Figure 1. Molecular structure of complex 3 with thermal ellipsoids projected at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond distances (A) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): \mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 2.244(5), \mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5) 2.307(6), \mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 2.322-$ (6), $\mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1) 2.8534(15), \mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2) 2.8547(15), \mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(1)$ 126.2(3), $\mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Si}(2)$ 127.6(3).

## Scheme 1



The most interesting aspect of the structure is the asymmetric $\mathrm{Lu}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ core. The $\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{Lu}$ distance of $3.9353(5) \AA$ is longer than the sum of their ionic radii $(1.722 \AA) .{ }^{8}$ The two shorter bonds of the core, $\mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)(2.6031(16) \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Lu}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)(2.5973(15) \AA)$, are on average $\sim 0.06 \AA$ shorter than $\mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(4)(2.6724(14) \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Lu}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)(2.6527(16) \AA)$ as well as shorter than the $\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{P}$ bond distances reported for the few known lutetium phosphide complexes (e.g., $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right)(\mu \text { - } \mathrm{PPh}) \mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}, \mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{P}=$ $2.826(1), 2.786(1) \AA ;\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{PCy}) \mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}, \mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{P}$


Figure 2. Molecular structure of complex 5 with thermal ellipsoids projected at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right): ~ \mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3) 2.6031(16), \mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ 2.6724(14), $\mathrm{Lu}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ 2.6527(16), $\mathrm{Lu}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ 2.5973(15), $\mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ 2.296(4), $\mathrm{Lu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ 2.295(4), $\mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Lu}(2) 96.96(5), \mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Lu}(2) 96.61(5), \mathrm{P}(3)-$ $\mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(4) 82.90(5), \mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Lu}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3) 83.40(5)$.
$=2.817(1), 2.789(1) \AA$; $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{PPh}) \mathrm{Lu}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\right]_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{3}$, $\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{P}=2.788(2), 2.861(2) \AA ;\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMes}^{*}\right) \mathrm{Lu}(\mu-\mathrm{H})-\right.$ (THF) $]_{2}, \mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{P}=2.683(1) \AA$; $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Lu}\left\{\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{Li}(\right.$ tmeda $\left.)\right], \mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{P}$ $=2.782(1), 2.813(2) \AA) .{ }^{6 \mathrm{a}, 9}$

Interestingly, the mesityl rings are nearly planar with the $\mathrm{Lu}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ core, with $\mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ and $\mathrm{Lu}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ dihedral angles of 7.11 and $5.81^{\circ}$, respectively. This likely minimizes unfavorable interactions between the ortho $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups on the mesityl rings and the ${ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}$ groups on the PNP ligand. Finally, the sum of the angles around $\mathrm{P}(3)$ and $\mathrm{P}(4)$ are 358.9 and $356.5^{\circ}$, respectively, allowing for $\pi$-donation of the phosphorus lone pairs to the Lu atoms. All of these combined structural features are consistent with the formulation of complex 5 as an asymmetric dimer of the terminal phosphinidene, $\left(\mathrm{PNP}^{i \mathrm{Pr}}\right) \mathrm{Lu}=\mathrm{PMes}$, with a bond order greater than 1 in the $\mathrm{Lu}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ and $\mathrm{Lu}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ interactions.

Preliminary reactivity studies demonstrate that 5 behaves similarly to known nucleophilic phosphinidene systems such as $\left[\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{Ta}=\mathrm{PR}]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Cy},{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu} ; \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiNCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)^{10}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}(=$ PMes* $)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ (Figure 2). ${ }^{11}$ Complex 5 reacts smoothly as a phospha-Wittig reagent with aldehydes and ketones to give the corresponding phosphaalkenes. For example, reaction of 5 with pivalaldehyde affords $(E)-\mathrm{MesP}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\left(48 \%\right.$ yield, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 227.1 \mathrm{ppm})^{12}$ and with benzophenone yields $\operatorname{MesP}=\mathrm{CPh}_{2}(72 \%$ yield, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left.\delta 234.0 \mathrm{ppm}\right) .{ }^{13}$ Concomitant formation of $\left[\left(\mathrm{PNP}^{i \mathrm{Pr}}\right) \mathrm{LuO}\right]_{x}$ is likely, but to date, we have not been able to ascertain the fate of the lutetium byproduct.

Attempts to disrupt the dimer and stabilize a terminal phosphinidene using Lewis bases were unsuccessful $\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right.$, tmeda, DMAP, or bipyridines) or resulted in decomposition $\left(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$. Kinetic stabilization was also explored using the sterically demanding phosphine, Mes* $\mathrm{PH}_{2}$. Reaction of a toluene- $d_{8}$ solution of $\mathbf{3}$ or $\mathbf{4}$ with Mes* $\mathrm{PH}_{2}$ at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $7-12 \mathrm{~h}$ resulted in quantitative formation of the phosphaindole 6 as determined by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy (eq 1).


For transition metals, the production of 6 signals the generation of a transient phosphinidene complex, ${ }^{1 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}}$ which reacts with a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond on the Mes* ortho- ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}$ group to give the phosphaindole. ${ }^{14,15}$

In summary, the first lanthanide complex featuring a phosphinidene functional group has been prepared and isolated. Although the large ionic radii of the lanthanide ions make it challenging to introduce enough steric saturation to stabilize a terminal phosphinidene, these results demonstrate that the formation of lanthanide phosphinidenes is possible and that the phosphinidene dimer 5 behaves as a nucleophilic phosphinidene transfer reagent. Efforts focused on stabilizing a terminal lanthanide-based phosphinidene complex by modifying both the supporting ligand and the substituent on the phosphorus atom are currently underway in our laboratory.
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